

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box, 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

			.22		····
APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/690,677 10/16/2000		Billy P. Taylor	28150.10	3413	
27685	759Ô	01/29/2004		EXAM	INER
HAYNES		•	LIU, JOSHUA C		
600 CONGI SUITE 1600		ENUE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
AUSTIN, T			2121		

DATE MAILED: 01/29/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	Office Action Summary	09/690,677 Examiner	TAYLOR, BILLY P.					
,		Joshua C Liu	2121					
	The MAILING DATE of this communica							
Period fo	• •							
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA sions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) do period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, eply received by the Office later than three months after ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ation. ays, a reply within the statutory minimum of thiny period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI by statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on <u>10/16/2000 (eff. filing date 5/2</u>	<u>6/00)</u> .					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)	This action is non-final.						
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)🖂	I)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
·	Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected.							
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	o and/or alcation requirement						
-	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	rand/or election requirement.						
	on Papers	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
•	The specification is objected to by the E The drawing(s) filed on <u>16 October 200</u> 6		objected to by the Examiner					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
* S 13)⊠ A si 3 a 14)□ A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International see the attached detailed Office action for the conce a specific reference was included in 7 CFR 1.78. 1) The translation of the foreign languation and the complete of the comp	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in A he priority documents have beer Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). or a list of the certified copies not domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. in the first sentence of the specific age provisional application has be domestic priority under 35 U.S.C.	Application No In received in this National Stage received. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) cation or in an Application Data Sheet. seen received. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific					
Attachmen								
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pape	-948) 5) Notice of I	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 2121

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-21 have been examined.

Oath/Declaration

2. Applicant has not given a post office address anywhere in the application papers as required by 37 CFR 1.33(a), which was in effect at the time of filing of the oath or declaration. A statement over applicant's signature providing a complete post office address is required.

Claim Objections

- 3. Claims 13-21 are objected to because of the following informalities:
 - ➤ Claims 13-18 recite "A computer program product" on L. 1, which is directed toward non-statutory subject matter. The Examiner suggests that the Applicant replace "A computer program product" on L. 1 of claims 13-18 with "A computer-readable medium having a computer program".
 - ➤ Claim 13 recites "(b) an apparatus from which…" on L. 10, which is redundant if the Applicant replaces "A computer program product" on L. 1 with "A computer-readable medium having a computer program".
 - ➤ Claim 16 recites "causing the computer system to: translating the first version" on L. 2. The Examiner suggests that the Applicant replace "translating the first version" on L. 2 with "*translate* the first version".
 - ➤ Claim 20 recites "The method of Claim 7" on L. 1, which is directed toward non-statutory matter. The Examiner suggests that the following correction: "The system of Claim 7".

Art Unit: 2121

➤ Claim 21 recites "The method of Claim 13" on L. 1, which is directed toward non-statutory matter. The Examiner suggests that the following correction: "The computer-readable medium of Claim 13".

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-4, 6-10, 12-16, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Crandell et al (US Patent Number 5,970,231; Issued 10/19/1999) in view of Sun Microsystems, Inc. (PCT Patent Publication #WO 00/30002; Filed 11/12/1999; Published 5/25/2000).

Claim 1

Claim 1 recites

A method performed by a computer system, comprising:

- (a) storing a first version of a mass-produced printed paper, the first version including first displayable content at a particular location within the paper; and
- (b) translating the first version into a second version of the paper in a manner that replaces the first displayable content with second displayable content at the particular location within the paper, the second version including the second displayable content instead of the first displayable content at the particular location within the paper, and the second version being displayable on a display device as a likeness of the paper with the second displayable content instead of the first displayable content at the particular location within the paper.
- Regarding claim 1, Crandell discloses a method of providing an electronic newspaper, comprising the steps of (a) storing a first version of a newspaper,

Art Unit: 2121

magazine, or book having first displayable content at a particular location (Crandell Fig. 10; Col 2 L. 67-Col 3 L. 3, "The electronic newspaper... amounts of data."; Col 4 L. 19-21, "As just mentioned,... archival purposes."; Col 6 L. 43-46, "The electronic newspaper... at their leisure."), which is (b) displayable on a display device with a likeness of the paper (Crandell Col 3 L. 18-20, "The electronic newspaper... small newspaper publication."). However, Crandell does not explicitly teach translating the first version into a second version of the paper in a manner that replaces the first displayable content with second displayable content at the particular location within the paper, the second version including the second displayable content instead of the first displayable content at the particular location within the paper. Sun Microsystems teaches (b) translating the first version into a second version of the paper in a manner that replaces the first displayable content with second displayable content at the particular location within the paper, the second version including the second displayable content instead of the first displayable content at the particular location within the paper (Sun Microsystems Fig. 5-6; Pg. 26 L. 4-16, "Referring... effective caching."; Pg. 31 L. 10-26, "In addition or... for example."), which –helps advertisers target specific audiences (Sun Microsystems Pg. 14 L. 7-9, "It is desirable... being advertised."; Pg. 37 L. 13-24, "By evaluating... or user profiles."). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Crandell, in view of Sun Microsystems, by translating the first version into a second version of the paper in a manner that replaces the first displayable content with second

Art Unit: 2121

V

displayable content at the particular location within the paper, the second version including the second displayable content instead of the first displayable content at the particular location within the paper.

Claim 2

Claim 2 recites "The method of Claim 1 wherein the translating comprises: translating the first version into the second version, wherein the first displayable content is a first advertisement, and the second displayable content is a second advertisement."

➢ Regarding claim 2, see §103 rejection for Claim 1, and (Sun Microsystems Fig. 5-6; Pg. 31 L. 10-26, "In addition or... for example."), which −helps advertisers target specific audiences (Sun Microsystems Pg. 14 L. 7-9, "It is desirable... being advertised."; Pg. 37 L. 13-24, "By evaluating... or user profiles.").
Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Crandell, in view of Sun Microsystems, by translating the first version into the second version, wherein the first displayable content is a first advertisement, and the second displayable content is a second advertisement.

Claim 3

Claim 3 recites "The method of Claim 2 wherein the translating comprises: translating the first version into the second version, wherein the first advertisement is a national advertisement, and the second advertisement is a regional advertisement."

Regarding claim 3, see §103 rejection for Claim 2, and (Sun Microsystems Fig. 4a-c and 5-6.), which –helps advertisers target specific audiences (Sun Microsystems Pg. 14 L. 7-9, "It is desirable... being advertised."; Pg. 37 L. 13-24.

Art Unit: 2121

i

"By evaluating... or user profiles."). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Crandell, in view of Sun Microsystems, by translating the first version into the second version, wherein the first advertisement is a national advertisement, and the second advertisement is a regional advertisement.

Claim 4

Claim 4 recites "The method of Claim 1 wherein the translating comprises translating the first version into the second version in a manner that replaces the first displayable content with the second displayable content in response to a user profile."

➤ Regarding claim 4, see §103 rejection for Claim 1, and (Sun Microsystems Fig. 5; Pg. 25 L. 13-Pg. 26 L. 2, "Alternatively, an advertiser... information the provide."), which –helps advertisers target specific audiences (Sun Microsystems Pg. 14 L. 7-9, "It is desirable... being advertised."; Pg. 37 L. 13-24, "By evaluating... or user profiles."). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Crandell, in view of Sun Microsystems, by translating the first version into the second version in a manner that replaces the first displayable content with the second displayable content in response to a user profile.

Claim 6

Claim 6 recites "The method of Claim 1 and comprising: encrypting the second version."

Regarding claim 6, see §103 rejection for Claim 1, and (Sun Microsystems Pg. 4
 L. 10-23, "Information servers... known as 'cipertext'."; Pg. 28 L. 15-28, "To

Art Unit: 2121

accommodate checksum... remaining packets."), which –allows for secure transmission of the second version. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Crandell, in view of Sun Microsystems, by encrypting the second version.

Claim 7

Claim 7 recites

A system, comprising:

- (a) a computing device for:
- (i) storing a first version of a mass-produced printed paper, the first version including first displayable content at particular location within the paper; and
 - (ii) translating the first version into a second version of the paper in a manner that replaces the first displayable content with second displayable content at the particular location within the paper, the second version including, the second displayable content instead of the first displayable content at the particular location within the paper, and the second version being displayable on a display device as a likeness of the paper with the second displayable content instead of the first displayable content at the particular location within the paper.
- Regarding claim 7, Crandell discloses a system comprising a computing device for (i) storing a first version of a newspaper, magazine, or book having first displayable content at a particular location (Crandell Fig. 10; Col 2 L. 67-Col 3 L. 3, "The electronic newspaper... amounts of data."; Col 4 L. 19-21, "As just mentioned,... archival purposes."; Col 6 L. 43-46, "The electronic newspaper... at their leisure."), which is (ii) displayable on a display device with a likeness of the paper (Crandell Col 3 L. 18-20, "The electronic newspaper... small newspaper publication."). However, Crandell does not explicitly teach translating the first version into a second version of the paper in a manner that replaces the first displayable content with second displayable content at the particular location

Art Unit: 2121

within the paper, the second version including the second displayable content instead of the first displayable content at the particular location within the paper. Sun Microsystems teaches (ii) translating the first version into a second version of the paper in a manner that replaces the first displayable content with second displayable content at the particular location within the paper, the second version including the second displayable content instead of the first displayable content at the particular location within the paper (Sun Microsystems Fig. 5-6; Pg. 26 L. 4-16, "Referring... effective caching."; Pg. 31 L. 10-26, "In addition or... for example."), which -helps advertisers target specific audiences (Sun Microsystems Pg. 14 L. 7-9, "It is desirable... being advertised."; Pg. 37 L. 13-24, "By evaluating... or user profiles."). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Crandell, in view of Sun Microsystems, by translating the first version into a second version of the paper in a manner that replaces the first displayable content with second displayable content at the particular location within the paper, the second version including the second displayable content instead of the first displayable content at the particular location within the paper.

Claim 8

Claim 8 recites "The system of Claim 7 wherein the first displayable content is a first advertisement, and the second displayable content is a second advertisement."

> Regarding claim 8, see §103 rejection for Claim 7, and (Sun Microsystems Fig. 5-6; Pg. 31 L. 10-26, "In addition or... for example."), which –helps advertisers

Art Unit: 2121

target specific audiences (Sun Microsystems Pg. 14 L. 7-9, "It is desirable... being advertised."; Pg. 37 L. 13-24, "By evaluating... or user profiles.").

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Crandell, in view of Sun Microsystems, by translating the first version into the second version, wherein the first displayable content is a first advertisement, and the second displayable content is a second advertisement.

Claim 9

Claim 9 recites "The system of Claim 8 wherein the first advertisement is a national advertisement, and the second advertisement is a regional advertisement."

Regarding claim 9, see §103 rejection for Claim 8, and (Sun Microsystems Fig. 4a-c and 5-6.), which –helps advertisers target specific audiences (Sun Microsystems Pg. 14 L. 7-9, "It is desirable... being advertised."; Pg. 37 L. 13-24, "By evaluating... or user profiles."). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Crandell, in view of Sun Microsystems, by translating the first version into the second version, wherein the first advertisement is a national advertisement, and the second advertisement is a regional advertisement.

Claim 10

Claim 10 recites "The system of Claim 7 wherein the computing device is for: translating the first version into the second version in a manner that replaces the first displayable content with the second displace content in response to a user profile."

Application/Control Number: 09/690,677 Page 10

Art Unit: 2121

> Regarding claim 10, see §103 rejection for Claim 7, and (Sun Microsystems Fig. 5; Pg. 25 L. 13-Pg. 26 L. 2, "Alternatively, an advertiser... information the provide."), which –helps advertisers target specific audiences (Sun Microsystems Pg. 14 L. 7-9, "It is desirable... being advertised."; Pg. 37 L. 13-24, "By evaluating... or user profiles."). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Crandell, in view of Sun Microsystems, by translating the first version into the second version in a manner that replaces the first displayable content with the second displayable content in response to a user profile.

Claim 12

Claim 12 recites "The system of Claim 7 wherein the computing device is for: encrypting the second version."

➤ Regarding claim 12, see §103 rejection for Claim 7, and (Sun Microsystems Pg. 4 L. 10-23, "Information servers... known as 'cipertext'."; Pg. 28 L. 15-28, "To accommodate checksum... remaining packets."), which –allows for secure transmission of the second version. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Crandell, in view of Sun Microsystems, by encrypting the second version.

Claim 13

Claim 13 recites

A computer program product, comprising:

(a) a computer program processable by a computer system for causing the computer system to:

(i) store a first version of a mass-produced printed paper, the first version including first displayable content at a particular location within the paper; and

Art Unit: 2121

(ii) translate the first version into a second version of the paper in a manner that replaces the first displayable content with second displayable content at the particular location within the paper, the second version including the second displayable content instead of the first displayable content at the particular location within the paper, and the second version being displayable on a display device as a likeness of the paper with the second displayable content instead of the first display table content at the particular location within the paper; and

- (b) an apparatus from which the computer program is accessible by the computer system.
- > Regarding claim 13, Crandell discloses a computer-readable medium comprising a computer program for (i) storing a first version of a newspaper, magazine, or book having first displayable content at a particular location (Crandell Fig. 10; Col 2 L. 67-Col 3 L. 3, "The electronic newspaper... amounts of data."; Col 4 L. 19-21, "As just mentioned,... archival purposes."; Col 6 L. 43-46, "The electronic newspaper... at their leisure."), which is (ii) displayable on a display device with a likeness of the paper (Crandell Col 3 L. 18-20, "The electronic newspaper... small newspaper publication."). However, Crandell does not explicitly teach translating the first version into a second version of the paper in a manner that replaces the first displayable content with second displayable content at the particular location within the paper, the second version including the second displayable content instead of the first displayable content at the particular location within the paper. Sun Microsystems teaches (ii) translating the first version into a second version of the paper in a manner that replaces the first displayable content with second displayable content at the particular location within the paper, the second version including the second displayable content instead of the first displayable content at the particular location within the paper (Sun Microsystems Fig. 5-6; Pg. 26 L. 4-16, "Referring... effective caching."; Pg.

Art Unit: 2121

31 L. 10-26, "In addition or... for example."), which –helps advertisers target specific audiences (Sun Microsystems Pg. 14 L. 7-9, "It is desirable... being advertised."; Pg. 37 L. 13-24, "By evaluating... or user profiles."). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Crandell, in view of Sun Microsystems, by translating the first version into a second version of the paper in a manner that replaces the first displayable content with second displayable content at the particular location within the paper, the second version including the second displayable content instead of the first displayable content at the particular location within the paper.

Claim 14

Claim 14 recites "The computer program product of Claim 13 wherein the first displayable content is a first advertisement, and the second displayable content is a second advertisement."

Regarding claim 14, see §103 rejection for Claim 13, and (Sun Microsystems Fig. 5-6; Pg. 31 L. 10-26, "In addition or... for example."), which –helps advertisers target specific audiences (Sun Microsystems Pg. 14 L. 7-9, "It is desirable... being advertised."; Pg. 37 L. 13-24, "By evaluating... or user profiles.").
Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Crandell, in view of Sun Microsystems, by translating the first version into the second version, wherein the first displayable content is a first advertisement, and the second displayable content is a second advertisement.

Claim 15

Art Unit: 2121

Claim 15 recites "The computer program product of Claim 14 wherein the first advertisement is a national advertisement, and the second advertisement is a regional advertisement."

➤ Regarding claim 15, see §103 rejection for Claim 14, and (Sun Microsystems Fig. 4a-c and 5-6.), which –helps advertisers target specific audiences (Sun Microsystems Pg. 14 L. 7-9, "It is desirable... being advertised."; Pg. 37 L. 13-24, "By evaluating... or user profiles."). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Crandell, in view of Sun Microsystems, by translating the first version into the second version, wherein the first advertisement is a national advertisement, and the second advertisement is a regional advertisement.

Claim 16

Claim 16 recites "The computer program product of Claim 13 wherein the computer program is processable by the computer system for causing the computer system to: translating the first version into the second version in a manner that replaces the first display content with the second displayable content in response to a user profile."

Regarding claim 16, see §103 rejection for Claim 13, and (Sun Microsystems Fig. 5; Pg. 25 L. 13-Pg. 26 L. 2, "Alternatively, an advertiser... information the provide."), which –helps advertisers target specific audiences (Sun Microsystems Pg. 14 L. 7-9, "It is desirable... being advertised."; Pg. 37 L. 13-24, "By evaluating... or user profiles."). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of

Art Unit: 2121

ordinary skill in the art to modify Crandell, in view of Sun Microsystems, by translating the first version into the second version in a manner that replaces the first displayable content with the second displayable content in response to a user profile.

Claim 18

Claim 18 recites "The computer program product of Claim 13 wherein the computer program is processable by the computer system for causing the computer system to: encrypt the second version."

- Regarding claim 18, see §103 rejection for Claim 13, and (Sun Microsystems Pg. 4 L. 10-23, "Information servers... known as 'cipertext'."; Pg. 28 L. 15-28, "To accommodate checksum... remaining packets."), which –allows for secure transmission of the second version. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Crandell, in view of Sun Microsystems, by encrypting the second version.
- 6. Claims 5, 11, 17 and 19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Crandell et al (US Patent Number 5,970,231; Issued 10/19/1999) in view of Sun Microsystems, Inc. (PCT Patent Publication #WO 00/30002; Filed 11/12/1999; Published 5/25/2000) and further in view of Deskgate Technologies, Inc. (PCT Patent Publication #WO 99/60458; Filed 5/15/1998; Published 11/25/1999).

Claim 5

Claim 5 recites "The method of Claim 1 and comprising: compressing the second version."

Application/Control Number: 09/690,677 Page 15

Art Unit: 2121

➤ Regarding claim 5, see §103 rejection for Claim 1. However, Crandell in view of Sun Microsystems does not explicitly teach compressing a second version of the digital content. Deskgate Technologies teaches compressing digital contents (Deskgate Technologies Pg. 5 L. 3-5, "Delivery is facilitated... across the network"), which –requires less time to transmit the digital content across the network. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Crandell, in view of Sun Microsystems, and further in view of Deskgate Technologies, by compressing the second version.

Claim 11

Claim 11 recites "The system of Claim 7 wherein the computing device is for: compressing the second version."

Regarding claim 11, see §103 rejection for Claim 7. However, Crandell in view of Sun Microsystems does not explicitly teach compressing a second version of the digital content. Deskgate Technologies teaches compressing digital contents (Deskgate Technologies Pg. 5 L. 3-5, "Delivery is facilitated... across the network"), which –requires less time to transmit the digital content across the network. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Crandell, in view of Sun Microsystems, and further in view of Deskgate Technologies, by compressing the second version.

Claim 17

Claim 17 recites "The computer program product of Claim 13 wherein the computer program is processable by the computer system for causing the computer system to: compress the second version."

➤ Regarding claim 17, see §103 rejection for Claim 13. However, Crandell in view of Sun Microsystems does not explicitly teach compressing a second version of the digital content. Deskgate Technologies teaches compressing digital contents (Deskgate Technologies Pg. 5 L. 3-5, "Delivery is facilitated... across the network"), which –requires less time to transmit the digital content across the network. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Crandell, in view of Sun Microsystems, and further in view of Deskgate Technologies, by compressing the second version.

Claim 19

Claim 19 recites "The method of Claim 1 wherein the translating comprises: translating the first version into the second version, the first version having a first digital format, and the second version having a second digital format."

➤ Regarding claim 19, see §103 rejection for Claim 1. However, Crandell in view of Sun Microsystems does not explicitly teach translating the first version into the second version, the first version having a first digital format, and the second version having a second digital format. Deskgate Technologies teaches translating the first version into the second version, the first version having a first digital format, and the second version having a second digital format (Deskgate Technologies Pg. 18 L. 10-20, "For example,... using the object."), which —

Application/Control Number: 09/690,677 Page 17

Art Unit: 2121

prevents locating and copying of complete, decoded files containing the digital content during execution of the object (Deskgate Technologies Pg. 18 L. 20-22, "This extra encryption... the object."). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Crandell, in view of Sun Microsystems, and further in view of Deskgate Technologies, by translating the first version into the second version, the first version having a first digital format, and the second version having a second digital format.

Claim 20

Claim 20 recites "The method of Claim 7 wherein the first version has a first digital format, and the second version has a second digital format."

Regarding claim 20, see §103 rejection for Claim 7. However, Crandell in view of Sun Microsystems does not explicitly teach translating the first version into the second version, the first version having a first digital format, and the second version having a second digital format. Deskgate Technologies teaches translating the first version into the second version, the first version having a first digital format, and the second version having a second digital format (Deskgate Technologies Pg. 18 L. 10-20, "For example,... using the object."), which – prevents locating and copying of complete, decoded files containing the digital content during execution of the object (Deskgate Technologies Pg. 18 L. 20-22, "This extra encryption... the object."). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Crandell, in view of Sun Microsystems, and further in view of Deskgate Technologies, by translating the first version into

Art Unit: 2121

the second version, the first version having a first digital format, and the second version having a second digital format.

Claim 21

Claim 21 recites "The method of Claim 13 wherein the first version has a first digital format, and the second version has a second digital format."

➤ Regarding claim 21, see §103 rejection for Claim 13. However, Crandell in view of Sun Microsystems does not explicitly teach translating the first version into the second version, the first version having a first digital format, and the second version having a second digital format. Deskgate Technologies teaches translating the first version into the second version, the first version having a first digital format, and the second version having a second digital format (Deskgate Technologies Pg. 18 L. 10-20, "For example,... using the object."), which − prevents locating and copying of complete, decoded files containing the digital content during execution of the object (Deskgate Technologies Pg. 18 L. 20-22, "This extra encryption... the object."). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Crandell, in view of Sun Microsystems, and further in view of Deskgate Technologies, by translating the first version into the second version, the first version having a first digital format, and the second version having a second digital format.

Art Unit: 2121

Conclusion

Page 19

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joshua C Liu whose telephone number is (703) 305-6435. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:30am-5:15pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anil Khatri, can be reached on (703) 305-0282. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

jl

Sixual Si

Wilbert L. Starks, Jr. Primary Examiner Art Unit - 2121